Appendix 4 - Integrated Impact Assessment Screening Form

| Service | n service area and directed Area: Legal, Democratorate: Resources | | • | ntelligence | | | | |
|---|--|------------|---------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|----------|--|--|
| Q1 (a) |) What are you screeni | ng for re | levance? | | | | | |
| | New and revised policies, practices or procedures Service review, re-organisation or service changes/reductions, which affect the wider community, service users and/or staff Efficiency or saving proposals | | | | | | | |
| | Setting budget allocations for new financial year and strategic financial planning New project proposals affecting staff, communities or accessibility to the built environment, e.g., new construction work or adaptations to existing buildings, moving to on-line services, changing location | | | | | | | |
| | Large Scale Public Events Local implementation of National Strategy/Plans/Legislation Strategic directive and intent, including those developed at Regional Partnership Boards and Public Services Board, which impact on a public bodies functions Medium to long term plans (for example, corporate plans, development plans, service delivery and | | | | | | | |
| | improvement plans) Setting objectives (for exam Major procurement and com Decisions that affect the abi services | missioning | decisions | | | | | |
| (b) Inform | Please name and fully nation / monitoring repor | • | | | nme and progress | S. | | |
| Q2 | What is the potential (+) or negative (-) | • | J | • | | positive | | |
| | під | h Impact | Medium Impact | Low impact | Needs further investigation | | | |
| | | + - | + - | + - | · · | | | |
| Childre | n/young people (0-18) | | | $\boxtimes \Box$ | | | | |
| Older people (50+) | | | | \square | | | | |
| | ner age group | | | $\boxtimes \square$ | | | | |
| Future Generations (yet to be born) | | | | | | | | |
| Disability | | \square | | | \sqcup | | | |
| Race (including refugees) | | HH | HH | XIII | | | | |
| Asylum seekers | | HH | HH | | H | | | |
| • • | Gypsies & travellers Religion or (non-)belief | | 片片 | | H | | | |
| Sex | · · · · · = = = | | | | | | | |
| Sexual | Sexual Orientation | | | $\boxtimes \square$ | | | | |
| Gender reassignment | | | | | | | | |
| Welsh Language | | HH | \Box | | \sqcup | | | |
| Poverty/social exclusion | | HH | 님님 | | H | | | |
| Carers (inc. young carers) Community cohesion | | HH | 片片 | | H | | | |
| | ge & civil partnership | HH | HH | | H | | | |
| Pregnancy and maternity | | ΠH | HH | ЙH | | | | |

Appendix 4 - Integrated Impact Assessment Screening Form

Please provide details below – either of your activities or your reasons for not undertaking involvement

The work of Scrutiny is open to the public, promoted via Council media channels, and enable all citizens to ask questions, raise issues and/or input views. The Work Programme takes into account a range of factors, including Council priorities and community concerns. The public were invited to input scrutiny topic suggestions.

Have you considered the Well-being of Future Generations Act (Wales) 2015 in the

| | development of this initiative: | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| a) | Overall does the initiative support our Corporate Plan's Well-being Objectives when considered together? | | | | | | | | |
| | Yes 🖂 | No 🗌 | | | | | | | |
| b) | Does the initiative cons Yes ⊠ | sider maximising contribution to each of the seven national well-being goals? | | | | | | | |
| c) | Does the initiative apply each of the five ways of working? Yes ⊠ No □ | | | | | | | | |
| d) | Does the initiative mee generations to meet th Yes ⊠ | • | hout compromising the ability of future | | | | | | |
| What is the potential risk of the initiative? (Consider the following impacts – equality, socio-economic, environmental, cultural, legal, financial, political, media, public perception etc) | | | | | | | | | |
| | High risk | Medium risk | Low risk | | | | | | |
| Q 6 | Will this initiative h | nave an impact (however | minor) on any other Council service | ? | | | | | |
| | ⊠ Yes □ N | lo If yes, please pro | vide details below | | | | | | |
| | The work of Scrutiny will involve examination of Council services and making recommendations for improvement to Cabinet Members (and other decision-makers). | | | | | | | | |
| | - | | | | | | | | |
| Q 7 | What is the cumula when considering | er improvement to Cabinet eative impact of this properall the impacts identified | | | | | | | |

Outcome of Screening

Q4

- Q8 Please describe the outcome of your screening below:
 - Summary of impacts identified and mitigation needed (Q2)

Appendix 4 - Integrated Impact Assessment Screening Form

- Summary of involvement (Q3)
- WFG considerations (Q4)
- Any risks identified (Q5)
- Cumulative impact (Q7)

The work of Scrutiny is open to the public, promoted via Council media channels, and enable all citizens to ask questions, raise issues and/or input views. The Work Programme takes into account a range of factors, including Council priorities and community concerns. The public were invited to input scrutiny topic suggestions. The work of Scrutiny will involve examination of Council services and making recommendations for improvement to Cabinet Members (and other decision-makers). Scrutiny activities have the potential for engagement to ensure public views can feed into the Scrutiny process. Each Scrutiny Inquiry and report will be subject to its own IIA process.

| ☐ Full IIA to be completed | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| | oort this |
| Screening completed by: | |
| Name: Brij Madahar | |
| | |
| Job title: Scrutiny Team Leader | |
| Date: 2 August 2022 | |
| Approval for Head of Service: | |
| Name: Debbie Smith | |
| Position: Deputy Chief Legal Officer | |
| Date: 9 August 2022 | |